may undergo further postanslational modification (PTMs) before becoming fully bioactive. These modifications regulate how peptides interact with the cellular environment, ensuring tratad proper bioactivity. When bound to their receptor, peptides can alter neuronal function and elicit unique behaviors.

The goal of this projectwasto further elucidate the molecular underpinnings of peptidergic modulation through physiological experiments combined with transcriptomics and molecular biology CPG that drives the rhythmic contractions of the lobster heart, the cardiac ganglion (CG), serves as an ex] TJ Ee5nv Ee5nveET Q q 0.24 0 0 0.2

relatively simple network composed of only nine neurons that produces quantifiable that responds to peptide modulators one such modulator is myosuppressin (pQDLDHVFLRFamide). Previous resether Dirckinson lab (Stevens, et al., 2009) has shown that, when applied to whole hearts, myosuppressin causes an immediate dec contraction frequency and contraction amplitude, followed by a large increase in contraction amplitude. By applying myosuppressin toisolated CGs and externally stimulated muscle cells, it was found that myosuppressin acts both centrally, on the neurons themselves, and peripherally, at the neuromuscular jand toormuscles the solution that myosuppressinaliters a number of the burst characteristics of the CaGs in generated.

, three of which exist in the CG.

In addition, it has been shown that yous uppressin exists endogenly us the lobster with two PTMsa cyclization of the (N)terminal glutamine and amidation at the)-terminus. It may also exist in forms that lack certain modifications, which may be differentially bioactively previous research tested three isoforms of myosuppressin on whole hearts of lobsters; one isoform with both modified isoform, and the cyclization, and one isoform lacking amidation. I found that both the fully modified isoform, and the cyclized isoformwere able to elicit a decrease in frequency and a decrease in amplitude followed by a large intreasentrast, the nearmidated isoform was able toelicit a smaller decrease in frequency and amplitude ut was not able to elicit ancrease in amplitude. This summer I investigated whether the differentially modified isoforms of myosuppressin were **elidie** to response in the periphery of the system, in the absence of neuronal input. To do this, I dissected out the cells of the CG and provide manual stimulation at a nerve ending to evoke contractions **betane**. In this experiment, the fully modified form of myosuppressin and the non-amidated form caused no change in the amplitude of contractions, suggressting non-amidated isoform is unable to bind either at the neuromuscular junction or muscle.

To further investigate the binding capabilities of myosuppressin, I began to characterize the expression of th predicted receptor sequences in the neural tiss to be the bosterUsing real time PCR, four predicted myosuppressin sequences were identified in the CG, two of which were also identified in the muscle. One receptor was identified in muscle that was not identified in any CG samples. Future researcomfilm the sequences the predicted receptors and express the gequences not insect cell line to test their ab